

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/492,288 | 0 | 1/27/2000 | Kenji Yoshioka | 0102/0097 | 9693 | |
| 21395 | 7590 | 08/14/2002 | | | | |
| LOUIS WO | _ | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | UIS WOO IYER DRIVE | | NGUYEN, | NGUYEN, DAVID Q | |
| SUITE 501 ARLINGTON, VA 22209 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | , | | 2682 | - | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002 | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(a) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| , | Office Action Summary | 09/492,288 | YOSHIOKA ET AL. | | | |
| omee Action Cummary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | David Q Nguyen | 2682 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence address | | | |
| THE - External control | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty of reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS stute. Cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6 | 99 July 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ Disposit | Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims | owance except for formal matters | s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| · | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicat | tion | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 and 18</u> is/are w | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | mindrawn norm consideration. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-10;12-13, 15-17 and 19-23</u> is/are | a rejected | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. | , rejected. | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement | | | | |
| | on Papers | aror election requirement. | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 ⁻ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac | cepted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) 🔲 . | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 . | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)[| ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the particular application from the International life the attached detailed Office action for a life. | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| _ a | The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome | provisional application has been | received. | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Rev | | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 12 | | | |

Art Unit: 2682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3,6 and 8, 20, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Takeuchi, Kiyohiko (JP 62067931).

Regarding claims 1,6 and 20 and 22, Timm teach that an emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle comprising: A microphone; a loudspeaker; a hands-free system circuit (see abstract; col. 3; lines 9-15; and fig. 5); and a means for allowing hands-free two-way speech communication with an emergency report receiving center via the microphone, the loudspeaker, and the hands-free system circuit; a communication device; and a processor operates to implement handsfree two-way speech communication with an emergency report receiving center via the microphone, the loudspeaker, the handsfreee system circuit, and the communication device (see abstract and fig. 1); means for receiving a volume level control signal from the emergency report receiving center (see fig. 1). Timm is silent to disclose a volume control circuit connected to the loudspeaker for automatically controlling a volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker at a predetermined constant level or higher during emergency reporting; and

Art Unit: 2682

means for controlling the volume control circuit to adjust the volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker in response to the received volume level control signal. However, Takeuchi disclose a volume control circuit connected to the loudspeaker for automatically controlling a volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker at a predetermined constant level or higher; and means for controlling the volume control circuit to adjust the volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker in response to the received volume level control signal (see abstract). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Takeuchi to Timm so that volume level of sound is controlled to a desired level by a vehicle user.

Regarding claims 2 and 23, the emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Takeuchi teach all of the limitation as applied to claim 1 above. Takeuchi further disclose the volume control circuit controls the volume level at the predetermined constant level, and inhibits a user from changing the volume level, and means for preventing the volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker from being decreased to less than the predetermined constant level (see abstract). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Takeuchi to Timm so that user can avoid the case of miss hearing

Regarding claim 3, the emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Takeuchi teach all of the limitation as applied to claim 1 above. Takeuchi also disclose the volume control circuit comprises means for controlling the volume level at the predetermined constant level during emergency reporting communication and means for allowing a user to change the volume (see abstract). Therefore, It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2682

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Takeuchi to Timm so that user can avoid the case of miss hearing.

Regarding claim 8, Timm further teach the emergency reporting network system comprising an emergency report receiving center; a communication network; and emergency report receiving center via the communication network (see fig. 1). Timm and Takeuchi teach that the emergency reporting apparatus of claim 1 (see explanation in claim 1-7). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Takeuchi to Timm so that volume level of sound is controlled to a desired level by a vehicle user

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Takeuchi, Kiyohiko (JP 62067931) and further in view of Fujiki et al (US Patent Number 6188891)

Regarding claim 4, the emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Takeuchi teach all of the limitation as applied to claim 1 above. Takeuchi also disclose the volume control circuit comprises first means for controlling the volume level at the predetermined constant level during emergency reporting communication (see abstract). Timm and Takeuchi are silent to disclose second means for allowing a user to change the volume level after the first means controls the volume level at the predetermined constant level. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that second means for allowing a user to change the volume level after the first means controls the volume level at the predetermined constant level so that user can adjust the volume level to a desired level after emergency

Art Unit: 2682

reporting. Timm and Takeuchi are also silent to disclose the third means for preventing the volume level from moving out of a predetermined range after the volume level is changed via the second means. However, Fujiki disclose that means for setting the volume level to a predetermined level such as the maximum level (see col. 2, lines 40-44). It is apparent that at the maximum level, user is prevented to change the volume level moving out of a predetermined range. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Fujiki to Timm and Takeuchi for controlling the volume level at the predetermined level, and inhibiting users to change the volume level so that it can avoid the case of miss hearing.

3. Claims 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Takeuchi, Kiyohiko (JP 62067931)) and further in view of Nevins et al. (US Patent number 5949886)

Regarding claims 5 and 7, the emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Takeuchi teach all of the limitation as applied to claim 1 above. Timm further teach means for receiving a volume level control signal from an external device (see fig. 1), except for means for detecting a level of background sound noise inputted via the microphone, and means for controlling the volume control circuit to adjust the volume level of sound generated by the loudspeaker in response to the detected level of background sound noise. However, Nevins teach that means for detecting a level of background sound noise inputted via the microphone, and means for controlling the volume control circuit to adjust the volume level (see abstract and col. 1, lines 16-27). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Nevins to

Art Unit: 2682

Timm, Takeuchi so that the user is notified of a possible error condition if the signal level falls below and goes over a predetermined threshold.

4. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Iacono (US Patent Number 4633229).

Regarding claim 9, Timm teach that a method of reporting an emergency comprises the steps of allowing hands-free speech communication with an emergency report receiving center via a microphone and a loudspeaker; and using a loudspeaker of the audio system as the hands-free speech communication speaker (see abstract; col. 3; lines 9-15; and fig. 5). Timm are silent to disclose that in case where the loudspeaker of the audio system is wrong, replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system and thereby using another loudspeaker of the audio system as the handsfree speech communication louspeaker. However, Iacono disclose that in case where the loudspeaker of the audio system is wrong, replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system and thereby using another loudspeaker of the audio system as the handsfree speech communication loudspeaker (see col. 8, lines 1-2). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Iacono to Timm so that user can avoid the case of miss hearing.

Regarding claim 13, the audio system method in a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Iacono teach all of the limitation as claimed. Iacono also disclose wherein the replacing step comprising the step of replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system in response to a loudspeaker change requirement signal transmitted from the emergency report receiving center (see col. 8, lines 1-56). Therefore, It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2682

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Iacono to Timm so that user can avoid the case of miss hearing.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Iacono (US Patent Number 4633229) in view of Warnaka et al. (US Patent number 6356641)

Regarding claim 10, the audio system method in a vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Iacono teach all of the limitation as applied to claim 9 above. Tim and Iacono fail to teach that one of an audio system loudspeakers are located in a right front door, a right rear door, a left front door, a left rear door. However, Warnaka teach that one of an audio system loudspeakers are located in a right front door, a right rear door, a left front door, a left rear door (see col. 2, lines 8-25). Warnaka does not mention that loudspeakers are located at a right portion of a rear seat, and a left portion of the rear seat. However, Warnaka show that more speakers are added to the other location in the vehicle (see col. 1, lines 8-25). It is apparent that loudspeakers could be located at a right portion of a rear seat, and a left portion of the rear seat. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Warnaka to Timm and Iacono for the emergency reporting vehicle comprising loudspeakers located in the desired location in order to improve the sound inside the vehicle.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Iacono (US Patent Number 4633229) and further in view of Dawson et al. (US Patent number 4683591)

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 12, the method of emergency reporting vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Iacono teach all of the limitation as claimed. Timm and Iacono are silent to teach that the replacing step comprising the step of replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system in response to user's manual operation. However, Dawson teach that audio system comprising switch for switching speaker to another speaker in audio system, and means for selecting speakers (see fig. 3 and col. 12, lines 20-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Dawson to Timm and Iacono in order for avoiding losing communication between user and the emergency report center during emergency reporting.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Iacono (US Patent Number 4633229) and further in view of Hamada et al. (US Patent number 5295192).

Regarding claim 15, the method of emergency reporting vehicle of Timm in view of Iacono teach all of the limitation as claimed. Tim and Iacono fail to teach detecting a level sound generated by the loudspeaker of the audio system, and replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system in response to the detected sound level. However, Hamada disclose an electronic noise attenuation method comprising a sensor to detect a level sound generated by the loudspeaker (see col. 1, line 30-40). It is apparent that a sensor to detect a level sound generated by the loudspeaker of Hamada can be applied to the Applicant's sensor as claimed, and user can replace the loudspeaker with another one in response to the detected sound level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Hamada to Timm and

Art Unit: 2682

Iacono in order for avoiding the noise during communication between user and the emergency report center.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Iacono (US Patent Number 4633229) and further in view of Rose. (US Patent number 3678202)

Regarding claim 16, the method of emergency reporting vehicle of Timm in view of Iacono teach all of the limitation as claimed. Timm and Iacono are silent to teach the steps of detecting an impedance of the loudspeaker of the audio system, replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio system when the loudspeaker is wrong. However, Rose teaches that detecting an impedance of the loudspeaker of the audio system and replacing the loudspeaker of the audio system with another loudspeaker of the audio (see col. 2, lines 45-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Rose to Timm and Iacono in order for avoiding losing communication between user and the emergency report center during emergency reporting.

9. Claims 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Okano et al. (JP 04276900).

Regarding claim 17, Timm teach that an emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle comprising: A microphone; a loudspeaker; a hands-free system circuit (see abstract; col. 3; lines 9-15; and fig. 5); and a means for allowing hands-free two-way speech communication with an emergency report receiving center via the microphone, the loudspeaker, and the hands-free system circuit; wherein the handsfree speech communication loudspeaker uses a loudspeaker of

Art Unit: 2682

the audio system (see abstract and fig. 1). Timm is silent to disclose means for automatically selecting one from among a pluraliry of loudspeakers of the audio system as the handsfree speech communication loudspeaker. However, Okano disclose means for automatically selecting one from among a pluraliry of loudspeakers of the audio system as the handsfree speech communication loudspeaker (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Okano to Timm in order for using a selected speaker automatically in according with a road traveling route.

Regarding claim 21, Timm teach that an emergency reporting apparatus for a vehicle comprising: A microphone; a hands-free system circuit; a communication device (see abstract; col. 3; lines 9-15; and fig. 5); and a processor operables to implement hands-free two-way speech communication with an emergency report receiving center via the microphone, the hands-free system circuit; the communication device. Timm are silent to disclose at least one selected loudspeaker from among the plurality of loudspeakers of the audio system of the vehicle having determined to be operational. However, Okano disclose at least one selected loudspeaker from among the plurality of loudspeakers of the audio system of the vehicle having determined to be operational (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Okano to Timm in order for using a selected speaker automatically in according with a road traveling route.

Art Unit: 2682

10. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Timm et al. (US Patent number 5890061) in view of Okano et al. (JP 04276900)and further in view of Dawson et al. (US Patent number 4683591)

Regarding claim 19, the method of emergency reporting vehicle of Timm as modified in view of Okano teach all of the limitation as claimed. Timm and Okano are silent to teach a unit manually operable by a user, and means for selecting one from among loudspeakers of the audio system as the handsfree speech communication loudspeaker in response to manual operation to the unit by the user. However, Dawson teach that audio system comprising switch for switching speaker to another speaker in audio system, and means for selecting speakers (see fig. 3 and col. 12, lines 20-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Dawson to Timm and Okano in order for avoiding losing communication between user and the emergency report center during emergency reporting.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent from including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 14, Timm in view of Warnaka and further in view of Dawson fail to teach that a DTMF signal is used as the loudspeaker change requirement signal, as specified in claim 14.

Art Unit: 2682

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,9,17,20,21 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen Q. David whose telephone number is (703) 605-4254. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 2682

supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703)308-6739. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for all communications.

David Nguyen

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2/12/02